



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/312,797

05/17/1999

SHIPENG LI

MTKI-04-355A

3062

36872

7590

08/13/2009

THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW D. FORTNEY, PH.D., P.C.
215 W FALLBROOK AVE SUITE 203
FRESNO, CA 93711

EXAMINER

LEE, Y YOUNG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/13/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte SHIPENG LI

Appeal 2009-003080
Application 09/312,797
Technology Center 2600

Mailed: August 13, 2009

Before DALE M. SHAW, *Chief Appeals Administrator.*

ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 12, 2008. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal 2009-003080 was assigned on January 8, 2009. A review of the application has revealed that the application was not ready for an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention is identified below.

Claims 1-3 of the instant application are set forth as method claims that may not fall with one of the four statutory categories of invention recited in 35 U.S.C. § 101. On May 15, 2008, the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled “Clarification of “Processes” under 35 U.S.C. § 101.” This memorandum is further used in conjunction with the Interim Guidelines and *the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 2106.IV.B, when determining whether a claimed invention falls within a statutory category of invention. *See In re Bilski*, No. 2007-1130, ___ F.3d ___, 2008 WL 4757110 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 30, 2008) (en banc). Thus, there is a question as to whether claims 1-3 meet the requirement of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claims 1-3 meet the requirement of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

If there are any questions pertaining to this order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS:ssc

The Law Offices of Andrew D. Fortney, PH.D., P.C.
401 W. Fallbrook Avenue, Suite 204
Fresno, CA 93711-5835